CAS LX 522 Syntax I
Pat must not have been sending flowers to Chris (as of the midterm)
Suppose that you were faced with the task of generating a structure for Pat must not have been sending flowers to Chris. Note: I will give you a revised version of this about a week after the midterm with some additions.

First, assemble your numeration. What are the elements in this sentence?

T [T, uN, past]
$v \quad[v, u \mathrm{~N}]$
Not [Neg]
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { must } & {[\mathrm{M}]} \\ \text { Perf } & {[\text { Perf] }}\end{array}$
Prog [Prog]
send $[\mathrm{V}, u \mathrm{~N}, u \mathrm{P}]$
$\stackrel{\text { to }}{\text { Chris }} \quad[\mathrm{P}, u \mathrm{~N}]$

| Chris | $[\mathrm{N}]$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| P$]$ |  |

flowers[N]
-
Then, start at the bottom (generally the right edge of the sentence in an SVO language like English), and work your way up.

## Step 1. Merge to and Chris.

This puts the $[u \mathrm{~N}]$ feature of to in close proximity to the $[\mathrm{N}]$ of Chris: $[u \mathrm{~N}]$ is checked
Since to had its feature checked (it motivated the Merge), the features of to project.
Since Chris does not project further and had no uninterpretable features to check, it is a maximal projection (NP).
Since there are no further uninterpretable features on to, the object we just formed is a maximal projection (PP)


Step 2. Merge send and the PP.
This puts the $[u \mathrm{P}]$ feature of send in close proximity to the $[\mathrm{P}]$ of the $\mathrm{PP} .[u \mathrm{P}]$ is checked
Since send had its feature checked, the features of give project.
Since there is still an uninterpretable feature on send, this is an intermediate projection ( $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ ).
The UTAH tells us that to Chris is the Goal (PP daughter of V').
I will put verbs and auxiliaries in their uninflected form (send, be, have) until the last step.


Step 3. Merge flowers and the $\mathbf{V}^{\prime}$.
This puts the remaining $[u \mathrm{~N}]$ feature of send close to the $[\mathrm{N}]$ feature of flowers. $[u \mathrm{~N}]$ is checked
Since give had its feature checked, the features of send project.
Since there are no more uninterpretable features on send, this is a maximal projection (VP),
Since flowers does not project further and had no uninterpretable features to check, it is a maximal projection (NP)
UTAH tells us that flowers is the Theme (NP daughter of VP).


Step 4. Merge $v$ and VP.
We are finished with $V$ now, and $v$ is the next step up on the Hierarchy of Projections.
No features are checked in this step.
Because $v$ is higher than V on the hierarchy of projections, the features of $v$ project.
Since $v$ still has an uninterpretable feature to check, this is an intermediate projection ( $v^{\prime}$ ),


Step 5. Move V to $\boldsymbol{v}$.
V always moves to $v$. Soon we will have a feature that causes this, for now it is just a stipulation
We show this by replacing $v$ with $\mathrm{V}+v$ and putting the original position of V in brackets: $\langle\mathrm{V}\rangle$.
I will list both sets of features in this "complex head" $V+v$, with the features of $v$ on top, and the features of $v$ below.


Step 6. Merge Pat and $\boldsymbol{v}^{\prime}$
This puts the remaining $[u \mathrm{~N}]$ feature of $v$ close to the $[\mathrm{N}]$ feature of Pat. $[u \mathrm{~N}]$ is checked. Since $v$ had its feature checked, the features of $v$ project.
Since there are no more uninterpretable features on $v$, this is a maximal projection ( $v \mathrm{P}$ )
Since Pat does not project further and had no strong features to check, it is a maximal
UTAH tells us that Pat is the Agent (NP daughter of $\nu \mathrm{P}$ ).
Sometimes NP daughter of $\nu \mathrm{P}$ is actually an Experiencer-if it is, we assume that it is
a slightly different $v$ ( $v_{\text {EXPERRIENCER }}$ ) for which NP daughter of $v P$ is an Experiencer. But with send here,
we have $v_{\text {Agent }}$, not $v_{\text {Experiencer }}$.


Step 7. Merge Prog and $\mathbf{v P}$.
We are finished with $v$ now, and Prog is the next step up on the Hierarchy of Projections. Because Prog is higher than $v$ on the hierarchy of projections, features of Prog project. Since Prog has no uninterpretable features to check, this is a maximal projection (ProgP).


Step 8. Merge Perf and ProgP.
We are finished with Prog now, and Perf is the next step up on the HoP
Because Perf is higher than Prog on the hierarchy of projections, features of Perf project Since Perf has no uninterpretable features to check, this is a maximal projection (PerfP),


Step 9. Merge $M$ and PerfP.
We are finished with Perf now, and $M$ is the next step up on the HoP.
Because $M$ is higher than Perf on the hierarchy of projections, features of $M$ project.
Since M has no uninterpretable features to check, this is a maximal projection (MP)

tep 10. Merge Neg and MP
We are finished with M now, and Neg is the next step up on the HoP
Because Neg is higher than M on the hierarchy of projections, features of Neg project.
Since Neg has no uninterpretable features to check, this is a maximal projection (NegP).


Step 11. Merge T and NegP.
We are finished with NegP now, and T is the next step up on the Ho
Because T is higher than NegP on the hierarchy of projections, features of T project. Since T has an uninterpretable feature to check, this is an intermediate projection ( $\mathrm{T}^{\prime}$ ). Thus, M must move to T (same procedure as moving V to $v$ ).



Step 13. Move Pat to the specifier of T.
T still has an uninterpretable $[u \mathrm{~N}]$ feature to check.
Nothing remains in the numeration that can check this feature.
The $[u \mathrm{~N}]$ feature of T matches the $[\mathrm{N}]$ feature of Pat. (T c-commands Pat, and there is nothing closer to T with an $[\mathrm{N}]$ feature).
Pat is moved (copied, and Merged with T').
This puts the remaining [uN] feature of T close to the $[\mathrm{N}]$ feature of Pat. $[u \mathrm{~N}]$ is checked.
Since Thad its feature checked, the features of T project.
Since there are no more uninterpretable features on T , this is a maximal projection (TP).


The derivation is done. No uninterpretable features remain. Pronunciation: Pat must not have been sending flowers to Chris.
To determine the pronunciation (again, this will be made more formal in class shortly after the midterm):
Each of M, Perf, Prog, and $v$ need an inflectional ending. Which inflectional ending it is is determined by what's just above it.
Each of $M$, Perf, Prog, and $v$ need an inflectional ending. Which inflectional ending it is is determined by what's just abore
$T$ (originally above $M$ ) is [past] and so the form of $M$ is $m$ ust (rather than whatever its present form would have been.)
$\mathbf{M}$ is above Perf, and M provides a bare form "ending." So, Perf is pronounced have.
Perf is above Prog, and Perf provides the -en ending. So, Prog is pronounced been.
Prog is above $v$, and Prog provides the -ing ending. So, $v$ (or rather $\mathbf{V}+v$ ) is pronounced sending.

